

ORDER:

Motion granted.

FILED

*E. Clayton Knowlton*

U.S. Magistrate Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE

AT NASHVILLE

2010 JUL -1 PM 2:06

U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TN

LARRY SNEED,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CASE NO. 10-cv-0543

JUDGE ECHOLS

EXPERIAN, TRANSUNION,  
MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT,  
and HSBC BANK NEVADA, N.A.

Defendants

PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR LEAVE TO REPLY TO DEFENDANT'S ANSWERS

Comes now the plaintiff to request leave to file a reply to the defendant's answers, pursuant to Rule 7(a)(7), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and in support thereof would show the Court the following:

1. The form filed in the state court for the issuance of plaintiff's complaint was not sufficient for a detailed explanation of the action brought. No supplementary filings were allowed. In the interest of justice, allowing the plaintiff to reply to defendant's answers would further clarify and explain the complaint to facilitate a better understanding, both to the Court and defendants.

2. To allow the plaintiff to reply would also aid the Court in its judicious review of all of the defendant's accusations and refute